Amendments to the Claims

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently Amended) A computer-implemented method for verifying software source code that includes references to program variables, the method comprising:

processing the source code to derive a set of nextstate functions representing control flow of the source code;

replacing the references to the program variables in the source code with non-deterministic choices in the next-state functions;

restricting the next-state functions including the non-deterministic choices to produce a finite-state model of the control flow,

wherein replacing the references to the program variables comprises eliminating the references to the program variables from the next-state functions, so that the finite-state model is substantially independent of data values of the program variables; and

verifying the finite-state model to find an error in the source code.

- 2. (Original) A method according to claim 1, wherein processing the source code comprises extracting a program counter from the source code, and expressing the next-state functions in terms of the program counter.
- 3. (Currently amended) A method according to claim 2, wherein processing the source code further comprises expressing the next-state functions with reference to a stack pointer associated with a stack used in running the code, and wherein replacing the program variables comprises eliminating substantially all the references to the program variables from the next-state functions, leaving the next-state functions dependent on the program counter and on the stack pointer.
- 4. (Original) A method according to claim 3, wherein restricting the next-state functions comprises limiting the stack pointer to a value no greater than a predetermined maximum.
 - 5. (Canceled)
- 6. (Original) A method according to claim 1, wherein processing the source code further comprises expressing the next-state functions with reference to a stack used in running the code, and wherein restricting the next-

state functions comprises limiting the stack to a depth no greater than a predetermined maximum.

7. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 6, wherein expressing the next-state functions comprises expressing the next-state functions in terms of a stack pointer associated with the stack, and wherein limiting the stack comprises limiting the stack pointer to a value no greater than the predetermined maximum, and

wherein expressing the next-state functions in terms of the stack pointer comprises incrementing the stack pointer in response to a function call in the source code, up to the predetermined maximum, and decrementing the stack pointer when the function returns.

8. (Canceled)

- 9. (Original) A method according to claim 1, wherein verifying the finite-state model comprises checking the finite-state model against a specification using a model checker.
- 10. (Currently amended) A method according to claim 9, wherein restricting the next-state functions comprises automatically producing the model from the source code in a form suitable for processing by the model checker,

substantially without human intervention in deriving and restricting the next-state functions or in replacing the references.

- 11. (Original) A method according to claim 9, wherein checking the finite state model comprises checking the model against one or more formulas expressed in terms of temporal logic.
- 12. (Original) A method according to claim 9, wherein checking the finite state model comprises finding a counter-example indicative of the error.
- software source code that includes references to program variables, the apparatus comprising a program analyzer, which is arranged to process the source code so as to derive a set of next-state functions representing control flow of the source code and to replace the references to the program variables in the source code with non-deterministic choices in the next-state functions, and further to restrict the next-state functions including the non-deterministic choices to produce a finite-state model of the control flow, which can be checked by a model checker to find an error in the source code, wherein the program analyzer is arranged to remove the references to the program variables from the next-state

functions, so that the finite-state model is substantially independent of data values of the program variables.

- 14. (Original) Apparatus according to claim 13, wherein the program analyzer is arranged to extract a program counter from the source code, and to express the next-state functions in terms of the program counter.
- claim 14, wherein the program analyzer is further arranged to express the next-state functions with reference to a stack pointer associated with a stack used in running the code, and to eliminate substantially—all the references to the program variables from the next-state functions, leaving the next-state functions dependent on the program counter and on the stack pointer.
- 16. (Original) Apparatus according to claim 15, wherein the program analyzer is arranged to limit the stack pointer to a value no greater than a predetermined maximum.

17. (Canceled)

18. (Previously presented) Apparatus according to claim 13, wherein the program analyzer is arranged to express the next-state functions with reference to a stack used in

running the code, which is limited to a depth no greater than a predetermined maximum, and

wherein the next-state functions are expressed in terms of a stack pointer associated with the stack, and wherein the stack pointer is limited to a value no greater than the predetermined maximum.

19. (Canceled)

- 20. (Previously presented) Apparatus according to claim 18, wherein in the next-state functions, the stack pointer is incremented in response to a function call in the source code, up to the predetermined maximum, and is decremented when the function returns.
- 21. (Original) Apparatus according to claim 13, and comprising a model checker, which is arranged to check the finite-state model against a specification.
- 22. (Currently amended) Apparatus according to claim 21, wherein the program analyzer is arranged to automatically produce the model from the source code in a form suitable for processing by the model checker, substantially without human intervention in deriving and restricting the next-state functions or in replacing the references.

- 23. (Original) Apparatus according to claim 21, wherein the model checker is arranged to check the model against one or more formulas expressed in terms of temporal logic.
- 24. (Original) Apparatus according to claim 21, wherein the model checker is arranged to find a counter-example indicative of the error.
- product for verifying source code that includes references to program variables, the product comprising a computer-readable medium in which program instructions are stored, which instructions, when read by the computer, cause the computer to process the source code so as to derive a set of next-state functions representing control flow of the source code and to replace the references to the program variables in the source code with non-deterministic choices in the next-state functions, and further cause the computer to restrict the next-state functions including the non-deterministic choices to produce a finite-state model of the control flow, which can be checked by a model checker to find an error in the source code, wherein the instructions cause the computer to remove the references to the program variables from the next-state

functions, so that the finite-state model is substantially independent of data values of the program variables.

- 26. (Original) A product according to claim 25, wherein the instructions cause the computer to extract a program counter from the source code, and to express the next-state functions in terms of the program counter.
- 27. (Currently Amended) A product according to claim 26, wherein the instructions cause the computer to express the next-state functions with reference to a stack pointer associated with a stack used in running the code, and to eliminate substantially all the references to the program variables from the next-state functions, leaving the next-state functions dependent on the program counter and on the stack pointer.
- 28. (Original) A product according to claim 27, wherein the instructions cause the computer to limit the stack pointer to a value no greater than a predetermined maximum.
 - 29. (Canceled)
- 30. (Original) A product according to claim 25, wherein the instructions cause the computer to express the next-state functions with reference to a stack used in running

the code, which is limited to a depth no greater than a predetermined maximum.

31. (Previously presented) A product according to claim 30, wherein the next-state functions are expressed in terms of a stack pointer associated with the stack, and wherein the stack pointer is limited to a value no greater than the predetermined maximum, and

wherein the next-state functions are expressed in terms of a stack pointer associated with the stack, and wherein the stack pointer is limited to a value no greater than the predetermined maximum.

32. (Canceled)

- 33. (Original) A product according to claim 25, wherein the instructions further cause the computer to check the finite-state model against a specification.
- 34. (Currently Amended) A product according to claim 33, wherein the instructions cause the computer to automatically produce the model from the source code in a form suitable for checking against the specification, substantially without human intervention in deriving and restricting the next-state functions or in replacing the references.

- 35. (Original) A product according to claim 33, wherein the instructions cause the computer to check the model against one or more formulas expressed in terms of temporal logic.
- 36. (Original) A product according to claim 33, wherein the instructions cause the computer to find a counter-example indicative of the error.

- 11 -